arXiv:1402.1455v1 [math.GR] 6 Feb 2014

Generalized inversions and the construction of musical group and groupoid actions

Alexandre Popoff
al.popoff@free.fr
France

February 7, 2014

1 Introduction

Transformational music theory is a recent field in music theory which studies the possible transformations between musical objects, such as chords. In the framework of the theory initiated by David Lewin [1], the set of all transformations forms a group which acts simply transitively on the set of musical objects. In particular, neo-Riemannian theory focuses on the action of the T/I group or the PLR group, which are both isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{24}, on the set of major and minor triads. Though we will recall some basic results about these groups in the later sections, we invite the reader to refer to classic references [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] for more information about neo-Riemannian theory.

Other groups of transformations have been considered as well by various authors [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], though they may not always act in a simply transitive fashion on their corresponding set of musical objects. Groups of transformations acting on musical objects other than major and minor triads have also been studied [15, 16]. Recently, we have shown [17] that generalized neo-Riemannian groups of transformations can be built as group extensions of a "generalized root group" by a "generalized type group". In this framework, we recover the usual neo-Riemannian group D_{24} as well as other interesting groups of transformations. Moreover, the knowledge of the group operation allows to explicitly build the left and right group actions on chords.

The group extension approach has neverthless some limitations, which we have exposed in [17]. We therefore proposed to replace the group of transformations by a groupoid of transformations, which allows for the transformation of one musical object into another using partial transformations (transformations which are only defined between type pairs of objects). We also gave some indications for the construction of the action of these partial transformations on objects.

The purpose of this informal paper is to provide explicit details for the calculation of group and groupoid actions in transformational music theory. In the latter part, we will show how partial transformations may be interpreted as "generalized inversion operators" acting on pairs of pitch-class sets. Section 2 is dedicated to the neo-Riemannian group D_{24} and its action on major and minor triads. Section 3 introduces groupoid and groupoid actions, as well as the generalized inversion operators.

2 Group actions in neo-Riemannian theory

2.1 Transpositions and inversions of pitch-classes

Before examining neo-Riemannian groups and their action, we recall first some definitions regarding transpositions and inversions of pitch-classes. We use here the set of twelve semitones, which describes the usual equal temperament, though any other number of semitones could be used.

A transposition T_k is a transformation which moves a pitch-class n by k semitones. In other words

$$T_k : n \longmapsto (n + k)$$

An inversion I_k is a transformation which inverts pitch-classes as such

$$I_k : n \longmapsto (k - n)$$

All transformations are understood modulo 12. Figure 1 shows graphically the action of the inversion transformation I_0 on the pitch-classes of the circle of semitones. The set of all twelve transpositions and twelve inversions form a group which is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{24}, but does not act simply transitively on pitch-classes. Notice that the following relations hold

- $T_p.T_q = T_{p+q}$



Figure 1: Graphical representation of the inversion I_0 and its action on pitch-classes.

- $T_p.I_q = T_p.T_q.I_0 = I_{p+q}$
- $I_p.T_q = T_p.I_0.T_q = T_p.T_{-q}.I_0 = I_{p-q}$
- $I_p.I_q = T_p.I_0.T_q.I_0 = T_{p-q}$

2.2 Musical groups of transformations as extensions

We recall here the approach introduced in [17] for the construction of groups of transformations as extensions. We consider a set of musical objects which can be uniquely characterized by their "root" and their "type". For example, a C-major chord has the pitch-class C as its root and "major" as its type. Other types of musical objects can be considered as well: for example, time-spans are durations located at a certain time. The "root" is then the location in time, while the "type" is the duration. From now on, we will only consider chords as musical objects, the root n being defined naturally and the type t being given by the corresponding pitch-class set. These chords will be notated as n_t. Moreover, we will assume that the roots of objects from different type live in the same space. For example, the roots of major and minor triads live in the same space of integers modulo 12. However, the roots of augmented

triads are not clearly defined in the circle of semitones, so we will not consider such chords.

We assume now that the space of roots is endowed with a simply transitive action of a group Z. The elements of this group can be viewed as "generalized transpositions" acting on the roots (and thus on the chords). We also assume that the space of types is endowed with a simply transitive action of a group H, whose elements can be assimilated to "formal inversions" between types. We can then build a generalized group G of transformations as an extension of the form

$$1 \to Z \to G \to H \to 1$$

The group G contains a normal subgroup isomorphic to Z which corresponds to transpositions of the chords. The other elements of G correspond to inversions, i.e transformations which switch from one type to another. Notice that the root may change during such a transformation. It is a well known fact that elements of G can be put in the form $(z, h), z \in Z, h \in H$, and that the group operation between two elements (z_1, h_1) and (z_2, h_2) is given by

$$(z_1, h_1) \cdot (z_2, h_2) = (z_1 \cdot \phi_{h_1}(z_2) \cdot \zeta(h_1, h_2), h_1 \cdot h_2)$$

where $\phi : H \to Aut(Z)$ is an action of H on Z by automorphisms, and $\zeta : H \times H \to Z$ is a 2-cocycle.

In order to build simply transitive actions, we take advantage of the fact that a simply transitive action of G on the set S of musical objects is equivalent to having a representable functor from the group G viewed as a single object $\bullet$ category (with $Hom(\bullet, \bullet) \cong G$) to S. A covariant (resp. contravariant) representable functor is naturally isomorphic to $Hom(\bullet, -)$ (resp. $Hom(-, \bullet)$). In other words, we first establish a bijection $\chi : G \to S$ between musical objects and elements (z, h) of G. This bijection is always possible, though non-canonical, since we have a simply transitive action of Z on the roots and of H on the types. By choosing an identity in each space, we can build the bijection χ. The covariant (left) action of a group element $g \in G$ on an object p is then given by

$$g.p = \chi(g.\chi^{-1}(p))$$

whereas the contravariant (right) action is given by

$$p.g = \chi(\chi^{-1}(p).g)$$



(a) (b)

Figure 2: Graphical representation of a C-major chord [0,4,7] (a) and a C-minor chord [0,3,7] (b) in the usual circle of twelve semitones.

2.3 The T/I group and the left action of D_{24}

In this part, we will consider the set of 24 major and minor triads as the musical objects. A representation of major and minor chords is depicted in Figure 2.

One of the most studied group in neo-Riemannian theory is known as the T/I group, since it is generated by transpositions T and inversions I. More specifically, it is generated by a transposition transformation T_1, which acts on chords as such

$$T_1 : \begin{array}{c} n_M \\ n_m \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{c} (n+1)_M \\ (n+1)_m \end{array}$$

and by an inversion operator I_0 which acts on chords as such

$$I_0 : \begin{array}{c} n_M \\ n_m \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{c} (5-n)_m \\ (5-n)_M \end{array}$$

It has the following presentation

$$T/I =< T_1, I_0 | (T_1)^{12} = 1, I_0^2 = 1, I_0.T_1.I_0 = T_{-1} >$$

and is therefore isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{24}.

By an abuse of notation, we have used the same symbols for transpositions and inversions acting on chords and for those acting on pitch-classes. This is justified in the sense that the transformation applied on a chord results from



Figure 3: Graphical representation of the action of inversion I_0 on a C-major chord through the action of I_0 on each individual pitch-class.

the transformation of each pitch-class comprised in the chord. For example, the action of I_0 on pitch-classes 0, 4 and 7 (a C-major chord) results in pitch-classes 0, 8 and 5 which correspond to a F-minor chord, as represented graphically on Figure 3.

We can recover the T/I group in the framework of group extensions. The space of roots is the set of integers modulo 12, which is endowed with the natural simply transitive action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$. The elements of this group correspond to transpositions of the major or minor triads. The space of types is the two elements set $\{M, m\}$, which we can endow with the simply transitive action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \curvearrowright\}$.

If we want to build groups of transformations acting simply transitively on major and minor triads by transpositions and inversions, we will consider groups G of the form

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}_{12} \to G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to 1$$

Among the eight possible groups of this form stands the dihedral group D_{24}, which is a semidirect product of $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The group operation is given by

$$(z_1, h_1) \cdot (z_2, h_2) = (z_1 \cdot \phi_{h_1}(z_2), h_1 \cdot h_2)$$

with $\phi_{\curvearrowright}(z) = z^{-1}$.

We can easily relate the left action of this group with the action of the T/I group, and associate group elements $(z, h) \in G$ with the transformations T_k and I_k. We first build the bijection χ between the group elements of G and the chords n_t. We choose to identify $1_{\mathbb{Z}_{12}}$ with the pitch-class 0 (i.e C), and $1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ with the major (M) type. The major chords n_M will thus be associated to group elements $(z^n, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$, whereas the minor chords will be associated to group elements $(z^n, \curvearrowright)$.

Consider the left action of $(z^k, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ on a chord n_t. We have

$$(z^k, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}).n_t = \chi((z^k, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}).(z^n, t)) = \chi((z^{n+k}, t)) = (n+k)_t$$

hence the elements $(z^k, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ correspond to the transformations T_k.

Consider now the left action of $(z^5, \curvearrowright)$. On a major chord we have

$$(z^5, \curvearrowright).n_M = \chi((z^5, \curvearrowright).(z^n, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})) = \chi((z^5\phi_{\curvearrowright}(z^n), \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^{5-n}, \curvearrowright)) = (5-n)_m$$

and on a minor chord

$$(z^5, \curvearrowright).n_m = \chi((z^5, \curvearrowright).(z^n, \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^5\phi_{\curvearrowright}(z^n), 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})) = \chi((z^{5-n}, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})) = (5-n)_M$$

We thus see that we can associate the group element $(z^5, \curvearrowright)$ to the inversion transformation I_0. Owing to the group operation, the other group elements $(z^k, \curvearrowright)$ are associated to the inversion transformations I_{k-5}.

2.4 The PLR group and the right action of D_{24}

In addition to the transpositions and inversions transformations, neo-Riemannian theory has also studied the action of the so-called P, L and R transformations. These transformations, which are involutions ($P^2 = L^2 = R^2 = 1$), are represented graphically on Figure 4.

The P transformation flips the third of a major chord around the inversion which exchanges the root and the fifth. We thus have

$$P : \begin{array}{c} n_M \\ n_m \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{c} n_m \\ n_M \end{array}$$

The L transformation flips the root of a major chord around the inversion which exchanges the third and the fifth. We thus have

$$L : \begin{array}{c} n_M \\ n_m \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{c} (n+4)_m \\ (n+8)_M \end{array}$$



Figure 4: Graphical representation of the P (a), L (b) and R (c) transformations on major and minor chords.

Finally, the R transformation flips the fifth of a major chord around the inversion which exchanges the root and the third. We thus have

$$R: \begin{array}{c} n_M \\ n_m \end{array} \longmapsto \begin{array}{c} (n+9)_m \\ (n+3)_M \end{array}$$

Notice that P can be expressed as a combination of the L and R transformations, with $P = R(LR)^3$. All these transformations can be composed, and it can be proved that L and R generate a group isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{24} with the following presentation

$$< L, R | P = R(LR)^3, (LR)^{12} = P^2 = (LRP)^2 = 1 > \cong D_{24}$$

It is possible to recover the action of the PLR group from the right action of the D_{24} group. Consider for example the right action of the group element $(z^4, \curvearrowright)$ on a major chord. We have

$$n_M.(z^4, \curvearrowright) = \chi((z^n, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}).(z^4, \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^n \phi_{1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}(z^4), \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^{n+4}, \curvearrowright)) = (n{+}4)_m$$

Consider now the right action of the same group element on a minor chord. We have

$$n_m.(z^4, \curvearrowright) = \chi((z^n, \curvearrowright).(z^4, \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^n \phi_{\curvearrowright}(z^4), \curvearrowright)) = \chi((z^{n+8}, \curvearrowright)) = (n{+}8)_M$$

We thus recover the action of the L transformation. It can be readily checked that the P transformation is associated with the right action of the group element $(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{12}}, \curvearrowright)$, and that the R transformation is associated with the right action of the group element $(z^9, \curvearrowright)$.

2.5 Right actions as transformations in a change of basis

In the example of the previous subsection, it has been shown that the P transformation is associated with the right action of $(1_{\mathbb{Z}_{12}}, \curvearrowright)$. We also had previously assessed that elements of the form $(z^k, \curvearrowright)$ are associated to the inversion transformations I_{k-5}. It thus would seem that the P transformation is associated to the inversion transformation I_7. This assertion is false, as can readily be seen from Figure 5. The action of the P transformation on a C-major chord can be described equivalently through the action of the I_7 inversion. However, the action of the same P transformation on a D-major



(a)



(b)

Figure 5: The P transformation acting on a C-major chord is equivalent to the inversion I_7 (a), while the same P transformation acting on a D-major chord is equivalent to the I_{11} inversion transformation (b).

chord is now equivalent to the action of the I_{11} inversion. Notice however that the position of the inversion transformation with respect to the position of the root of the chord is the same in both cases. Roughly speaking, it is as we had I_7 acting in the reference frame of the chord.

To be more precise, consider the left action of a group element g on a chord p

$$g.p = \chi(g.\chi^{-1}(p))$$

Consider now a group element of the form $g = \chi^{-1}(p).h.(\chi^{-1}(p))^{-1}$ (recall that $\chi^{-1}(p)$ is the group element corresponding to p, thus $(\chi^{-1}(p))^{-1}$ is the inverse of that element). We have

$$g.p = \chi(\chi^{-1}(p).h.(\chi^{-1}(p))^{-1}.\chi^{-1}(p)) = \chi(\chi^{-1}(p).h)$$

which corresponds to the right action of the group element h. The expression $\chi^{-1}(p).h.(\chi^{-1}(p))^{-1}$ indicates that a change of basis takes place to let h act in the reference frame of the chord p.

In the example given above, the C-major chord has been identified with the identity element of D_{24} and thus the action of P coincides with the left action of I_7. The D-major chord, on the other hand, is identified with $T_2 = (z^2, 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ since it is a transposition of the C-major chord by two semitones. We then have

$$T_2.I_7.T_2^{-1} = I_{11}$$

which corresponds to the example given. The P transformation can therefore be associated with the I_7 inversion, but through conjugation with the chord element.

3 Generalized inversions and groupoid actions

3.1 Introduction of groupoids

We have exposed in [17] the limitations of using group extensions, and we have proposed to use groupoid extensions. We will recall here the construction and we refer the reader to the given reference for more details.

Instead of using a base group Z, we use a groupoid $\mathcal{Z}$ in which objects are pitch-class sets and which is a disjoint union of groups. In other words, for any objects X_t, Y_t, $Hom(X_t, X_t)$ is isomorphic to some group and $Hom(X_t, Y_t) = \emptyset$. Moreover, we assume here that all endomorphism



(a) (b)

Figure 6: Some examples of groupoids $\mathcal{H}$ of formal inversions between two pitch-class sets M and m (a) and three pitch-class sets M, α and β (b)

groups $Hom(X_t, X_t)$ are isomorphic to the same group Z. The groupoid $\mathcal{Z}$ is the groupoid of transpositions for each pitch-class set. In the same way, we use a groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ with the same objects as $\mathcal{H}$ representing formal inversions between pitch-class sets. Two examples of this groupoid are represented on Figure 6. The morphisms $h_{t_1 t_2}$ represents the formal inversions between pitch-class sets t_1 and t_2. Notice that these formal inversions are partial transformations by nature, contrary to the case of group extensions.

From $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ we can build a groupoid extension in the sense of Hoff [18, 19, 20], which is a sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

in which I is a functor injective on morphisms, while P is a functor surjective on morphisms (I and P maps objects in the natural way), and in which $\forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}, P(g_1) = P(g_2) \Leftrightarrow \exists! z \in \mathcal{Z}, g_2 = I(z) \cdot g_1$.

Hoff has shown that $\mathcal{G}$ has the following structure

1. $\mathcal{G}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{Z}$.

2. Morphisms of $\mathcal{G}$ are of the form (z, h), i.e they are indexed by the

morphisms from $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{Z}$, with z being a transposition of the codomain of h.

3. Composition of two morphisms $g_1 = (z_1, h_1)$ and $g_2 = (z_2, h_2)$, whenever they are compatible (i.e the domain of g_2 is the codomain of g_1) is given by the law :

$$(z_2, h_2) \cdot (z_1, h_1) = (z_2 \cdot \phi_{h_2}(z_1) \cdot \zeta(h_2, h_1), h_2 \cdot h_1)$$

where ϕ is an action of the category $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$, and ζ is a 2-cocycle.

An action ϕ of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ is a functor $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{Grp}$ where the images of the objects of $\mathcal{H}$ are the groups associated to the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{Z}$, i.e for any object $X \in \mathcal{H}$, $\phi(X) \cong Hom_{\mathcal{Z}}(X, X)$. In other terms, this functor defines homomorphisms between the groups of $\mathcal{Z}$ which are compatible with composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{H}$. A 2-cocycle is a function $\zeta : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{Z}$ between two morphisms of $\mathcal{H}$ which outputs a morphism from the appropriate object of $\mathcal{Z}$ such that :

$$\phi_{h_3}(\zeta(h_2, h_1)) \cdot \zeta(h_3, h_2 \cdot h_1) = \zeta(h_3, h_2) \cdot \zeta(h_3 \cdot h_2, h_1)$$

whenever h_1, h_2 and h_3 are compatible.

In the sections below, we will show how to build covariant and contravariant groupoid actions for a system of three pitch-class sets. However, we need first to introduce the concept of generalized inversion.

3.2 Generalized inversions

As exposed above, the inversion transformations of the T/I group act on chords through their action on each individual pitch-class. For example, a major chord can be represented by an ordered triple of pitch-classes $n_M = [x, y, z]$ (with $y = x + 4$ and $z = x + 7$) and the action of I_0 results in the ordered triple $n_m = I_0.n_M = [I_0.z, I_0.y, I_0.x]$. The I_0 transformation can only transform major chords into minor chords, and *vice-versa*. In order to transform a major chord into any other pitch-class, it is necessary to introduce modified versions of the inversion transformations.

Notice that given any two pitch-classes x and y, it is always possible to find an inversion I_k such that $y = I_k.x$. Thus one needs at most three inversion transformations in order to transform, say, a triadic pitch-class set into another. We thus obtain the definition of a generalized inversion between

two pitch-class sets of the same cardinality in the case of triadic pitch-class sets (which is generalizable to any cardinality)

Definition *A generalized inversion transformation J_k for two pitch-class sets of same cardinality $n_1 = [x_1, y_1, z_1]$ and $n_2 = [x_2, y_2, z_2]$ is an ordered set $\{I_k, I_{k+p}, I_{k+q}\}$ of inversion transformations belonging to the T/I group, together with a given action of each inversion on the pitch-classes of each pitch-class set, such that the action is an involution.*

In the rest of this paper, subscripts before the J transformations will indicate the pitch-class sets being transformed.

As an example, consider the pitch-class sets [0,4,7], [0,2,5] and [0,4,5], which we will designate from now on as M, α and β, and which are represented in Figure 7.

It is possible to switch between M and α chords through the use of the ${}_{M\alpha}J_0 = \{I_0, I_3\}$ transformation which acts on major chords as such

$$ {}_{M\alpha}J_0.n_M =_{M\alpha} J_0.[x, y, z] = [I_3.x, I_0.z, I_0.y] = (3 - n)_\alpha $$

and reciprocally for α chords. This transformation is represented graphically on Figure 8(a). Similarly, it is possible to switch between β and α chords through the use of the ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_0 = \{I_0, I_1\}$ transformation which acts on α chords as such

$$ {}_{\alpha\beta}J_0.n_\alpha =_{\alpha\beta} J_0.[x, y, z] = [I_0.z, I_1.y, I_0.x] = (7 - n)_\beta $$

and reciprocally. This transformation is represented as well on Figure 8(b). Notice that these inversion transformations are only valid as partial transformations. For example, applying ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_0$ on a major chord results in another major chord, hence the transformation is not an inversion anymore.

3.3 Covariant actions of groupoids

We will now show how to construct a covariant action of a groupoid acting on the three pitch-class sets M, α and β introduced precedently.

We consider a groupoid $\mathcal{Z}$ of three objects, labelled M, α and β, such that, for each object, the endomorphism group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$. This groupoid gives rise to morphisms z^n_M, z^n_α and z^n_β representing the transpositions applicable to each pitch-class set. We can identify the roots of the chords n_M, n_α and n_β with these morphisms after choosing an identity in each



Figure 7: Graphical representation of pitch-class sets [0,4,7] (a), [0,2,5] (b) and [0,4,5] (c) in the usual circle of twelve semitones.



(a)



(b)

Figure 8: Graphical representation of generalized inversions ${}_{M\alpha}J_0 = \{I_0, I_3\}$ acting on a major chord (a) and ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_0 = \{I_0, I_1\}$ acting on an α chord (b).



Figure 9: Representation of the action ϕ of the category $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ used in the construction. The isomorphisms between groups are represented by their multiplicative action.

set. For convenience, we will identify the pitch-class [0] with the morphisms z^0_M, z^0_α and z^0_β for each pitch-class set. By doing so, we can formally assess "$z^n_M = z^n_\alpha = z^n_\beta$", which will be useful for determining covariant actions.

The groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ is identical to the one shown in Figure 6(b). Similarly, after choosing one particular pitch-class set as the identity, we can identify the others with the morphisms h. For example, by choosing M as the identity, the pitch-class sets α and β are associated respectively with the morphisms $h_{M\alpha}$ and $h_{M\beta}$.

We build the groupoid G as

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{H} \to 1$$

with the action ϕ given by the diagram of Figure 9 and wherein the 2-cocycle is the identity function.

In the case of group extensions, we have built the left and right actions through the use of a representable functor. We use the same strategy in the case of groupoids. We choose for example the covariant Hom functor $Hom(M, -)$ from $\mathcal{G}$ to **Set**. This functor sends

1. the object M to the set of morphisms $\{(z^n_M, id_M)\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_M.

2. the object α to the set of morphisms $\{(z_\alpha^n, h_{M\alpha})\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_α.

3. the object β to the set of morphisms $\{(z_\beta^n, h_{M\beta})\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_β.

The covariant action of a groupoid element (z, h) on a chord p is determined by identifying the morphism corresponding to p and applying (z, h) on the left. For example, we can determine the action of $(z_\alpha^3, h_{M\alpha})$ on a major chord n_M. This morphism correspond to a transition between a major and an α chord, as indicated by the presence of $h_{M\alpha}$. The major chord n_M is identified with the morphism (z_M^n, id_M) and we have

$$(z_\alpha^3, h_{M\alpha}).n_M = (z_\alpha^3, h_{M\alpha}).(z_M^n, id_M) = (z_\alpha^3.\phi_{M\alpha}(z_M^n), h_{M\alpha}) = (z_\alpha^{3-n}, h_{M\alpha}) = (3{-}n)_\alpha$$

hence we see that we can associate the morphism $(z_\alpha^3, h_{M\alpha})$ with the ${}_{M\alpha}J_0$ generalized inversion defined precedently, and recover its action. The inverse of this morphism is given by the morphism $(z_M^3, h_{\alpha M})$ which acts on α chords as

$$(z_M^3, h_{\alpha M}).n_\alpha = (z_M^3, h_{\alpha M}).(z_\alpha^n, h_{M\alpha}) = (z_M^3.\phi_{\alpha M}(z_\alpha^n), id_M) = (z_M^{3-n}, id_M) = (3{-}n)_M$$

Similarly, we can determine the action of $(z_\beta^7, h_{\alpha\beta})$ on an α chord n_α. We have

$$(z_\beta^7, h_{\alpha\beta}).n_\alpha = (z_\beta^7, h_{\alpha\beta}).(z_\alpha^n, h_{M\alpha}) = (z_\beta^7.\phi_{\alpha\beta}(z_\alpha^n), h_{M\beta}) = (z_\beta^{7-n}, h_{M\beta}) = (7{-}n)_\beta$$

and we see that we recover the action of the ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_0$ generalized inversion transformation. We can therefore associate the morphism $(z_\beta^7, h_{\alpha\beta})$ with this transformation. The inverse of this morphism is given in the same way by the morphism $(z_\alpha^7, h_{\beta\alpha})$.

3.4 Contravariant actions of groupoids

We consider now the contravariant functor $Hom(-, M)$ from $\mathcal{G}$ to **Set**. This functor sends

1. the object M to the set of morphisms $\{(z^n_M, id_M)\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_M.

2. the object α to the set of morphisms $\{(z^n_M, h_{\alpha M})\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_α.

3. the object β to the set of morphisms $\{(z^n_M, h_{\beta M})\}$ which are identified bijectively with the chords n_β.

One could object to the identification of morphisms of the type $(z^n_M, h_{\alpha M})$ with chords n_α. However, we have previously assumed "$z^n_M = z^n_\alpha = z^n_\beta$", so that z^n_M can be identified with the root n of the chord n_α. As for the morphism $h_{\alpha M}$, it may seem that this morphism has the wrong domain and codomain and that they should be inverted. Recall however that a contravariant functor from $\mathcal{G}$ to **Set** is equivalent to a covariant functor from $\mathcal{G}^{op}$ to **Set**. In the opposite groupoid $\mathcal{G}^{op}$, the morphism $h_{\alpha M}$ has M as its domain, and α as its codomain so that it represents a transformation from chords n_M to n_α.

The contravariant action of morphisms on chords p is calculated using the same methodology as in the covariant case. Consider for example the contravariant action of the morphism $(z^7_M, h_{\alpha M})$ on a major chord n_M. We have

$$n_M.(z^7_M, h_{\alpha M}) = (z^n_M, id_M).(z^7_M, h_{\alpha M}) = (z^{n+7}_M., h_{\alpha M}) = (n+7)_\alpha$$

and the contravariant action of the inverse morphism $(z^7_\alpha, h_{M\alpha})$ on an α chord is

$$n_\alpha.(z^7_\alpha, h_{M\alpha}) = (z^n_M, h_{\alpha M}).(z^7_\alpha, h_{M\alpha}) = (z^n_M.\phi_{h_{\alpha M}}(z^7_\alpha), id_M) = (z^{n+5}_M, id_M) = (n+5)_M$$

As the root change depends on the pitch-class set of the chord, we thus see that this transformation is *partial contextual* one. The graphical representation of this action is given on Figure 10(a). We have precedently associated the morphism $(z^3_M, h_{\alpha M})$ with the ${}_{M\alpha}J_0$ generalized inversion. It thus follows that $(z^7_M, h_{\alpha M})$ is represented by the ${}_{M\alpha}J_4$ generalized inversion. Similarly to the case of groups, the right action of $(z^7_M, h_{\alpha M})$ can be viewed as ${}_{M\alpha}J_4$ applied in the reference frame of the chord. Notice that this transformation preserves two pitch-classes, as in the P, L or R operations. We thus have a groupoid-based analogue of voice-leading transformations. There is in fact



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 10: Graphical representation of generalized inversions ${}_{M\alpha}J_4$ (a) and ${}_{M\alpha}J_{11}$ acting on a 0_M chord (b), and of ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_5$ acting on a 0_α chord (c).

another one, which is represented on Figure 10(b). This action results from the contravariant action of the morphism $(z^2_M, h_{\alpha M})$ which corresponds to the generalized inversion ${}_{M\alpha}J_{11}$.

We can find a similar voice-leading transformation for pitch-class sets α and β, as represented on Figure 10(c). We leave to the reader the task of checking that the right action of the morphism $(z^0_\alpha, h_{\beta\alpha})$ sends the chord n_α to n_β and vice-versa. As before, we can check that this morphism corresponds to the generalized inversion ${}_{\alpha\beta}J_5$ acting in the reference frame of the chords.

4 Conclusions

This paper has introduced the concrete calculation of musical actions of group and groupoid morphisms, in the context of their extensions. In both cases, the calculation is easily obtained through the knowledge of the morphism composition rule. It has been shown that the well-known neo-Riemannian contextual transformations P, L and R arise as right actions of elements of the dihedral group D_{24}, whose left actions correspond to the transpositions and inversions of the classical T/I group. We have also shown that the right actions can be considered from a different point of view, as left actions applied in the reference frame of the musical object.

We have also introduced the notion of generalized inversion transformation, which allows the transformation between any pair of pitch-class sets of the same cardinality. We have shown that the partial transformations in groupoid extensions may be assimilated to these generalized inversion transformations. This occurs when the formal inversion groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ acts on $\mathcal{Z}$ through inverse homomorphisms (abstractly speaking, $z \to z^{-1}$). As in the case of groups, covariant and contravariant actions can be built, the latter leading to partial contextual transformations between chords, which can be viewed as partial transformations acting in the reference frame of the chord. We thus hope that this methodology can be used in the context of musical analysis to determine the possible transformations between multiple pitch-class sets.

References

[1] D. Lewin, *Generalized Musical Intervals and Transformations*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, 1987

[2] R. Cohn, *An Introduction to Neo-Riemannian Theory: A Survey and Historical Perspective*, Journal of Music Theory 42/2 (1998), pp. 167-180

[3] R. Cohn, *Maximally Smooth Cycles, Hexatonic Systems, and the Analysis of Late-Romantic Triadic Progressions*, Music Analysis 15/1 (1996), pp. 9-40

[4] R. Cohn, *Neo-Riemannian Operations, Parsimonious Trichords, and Their Tonnetz Representations*, Journal of Music Theory 41, pp. 166

[5] G. Capuzzo, *Neo-Riemannian Theory and the Analysis of Pop-Rock Music*, Music Theory Spectrum 26/2 (2004), pp. 177200

[6] J. Douthett, *Flip-flop Circles and Their Groups*, in *Music Theory and Mathematics: Chords, Collections, and Transformations*, pp. 23-49, Eastman Studies in Music, J. Douthett, M. Hyde, and C. Smith. , eds., University of Rochester Press, 2008.

[7] T.M. Fiore, R. Satyendra, *Generalized Contextual Groups*, Music Theory Online 11(3) (2005).

[8] A.S. Crans, T.M. Fiore, R. Satyendra, *Musical Action of Dihedral Groups*, American Monthly Mathematical, June/July 2009.

[9] T.M. Fiore, T. Noll, *Commuting Groups and the Topos of Triads*, Mathematics and Computation in Music, Third International Conference, MCM 2011, C. Agon, M. Andreatta, G. Assayag, E. Amiot, J. Bresson, J. Mandereau. eds., Springer Lecture Notes in Articial Intelligence, 6726 (2011), pp 69-83.

[10] J.N. Straus, *Contextual-Inversion Spaces*, Journal of Music Theory 55/1 (2011), pp. 43-89

[11] J. Hook, *Uniform Triadic Transformation*, Journal of Music Theory 46/1-2 (2002), pp. 57-126

[12] J. Hook, *Signature Transformations*, in *Music Theory and Mathematics: Chords, Collections, and Transformations*, pp. 137-161, Eastman Studies in Music, J. Douthett, M. Hyde, and C. Smith. , eds., University of Rochester Press, 2008.

[13] R. Peck, *Wreath Products in Transformational Music Theory*, Perspectives of New Music 47/1 (2009), pp. 193-211

[14] R. Peck, *Imaginary Transformations*, Journal of Mathematics and Music 4/3 (2010), pp. 157-171

[15] A. Childs, *Moving beyond Neo-Riemannian Triads: Exploring a Transformational Model for Seventh Chords*, Journal of Music Theory 42/2 (1998), pp. 181-193

[16] J. Hook, *Cross-Type Transformations and the Path Consistency Condition*, Music Theory Spectrum 29/1 (2007), pp. 1-40

[17] A. Popoff, *Building Generalized Neo-Riemannian Groups of Musical Transformations as Extensions*, Journal of Mathematics and Music, 7 (1), 2013, pp. 55-72.

[17] A. Popoff, *Towards A Categorical Approach of Transformational Music Theory*, submitted, available on Arxiv at http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3216

[18] G. Hoff, *On the Cohomology of Categories*, Rend. Mat. 7 (1974), pp. 169-192.

[19] G. Hoff, *Extensions Multiples de Categories*, Rend. Mat. 26 (2006), pp. 351-365.

[20] G. Hoff, *Cohomologies et Extensions de Categories*, Math. Scand. 74 (1994), pp. 191-207.